Obliquely reflecting diffusions in curved, nonsmooth domains

Cristina Costantini University of Chieti-Pescara, Italy, c.costantini@unich.it

joint work with Thomas G. Kurtz



Some examples from stochastic networks

W.N. Kang and R. J. Williams (2012): Diffusion approximation for an input-queued switch operating under a maximum weight matching policy, Stochastic Systems, 2, 277-321









W.N. Kang, F.P. Kelly, N.H. Lee and R.J. Williams (2009): State space collapse and diffusion approximation for a network operating under a fair bandwidth sharing policy, The Annals of Applied Probability, 19, 1719-1780



FIG. 8. A portion of the workload cone $W_{0.5}$ is shown for a linear network with three resources and four routes with $\alpha = 0.5$ and $v_i = \mu_i = \kappa_i = 1$ for all $i \in \mathbb{I}$.



FIG. 9. A cross-section of the workload cone $W_{0.5}$ depicted in Figure 8 taken at $w_3 = 1$.

Semimartingale reflecting diffusions



Stochastic Differential Equation with Reflection (SDER)

$$X(t) = X_0 + \int_0^t b(X(s))ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X(s))dW(s) + \int_0^t \gamma(s)d\lambda(s),$$

$$X(s) \in \overline{D}, \quad \gamma(s) \in G(X(s)), \ G(x) \text{ a cone,} \quad |\gamma(s)| = 1, \ d\lambda - a.e.,$$

A nondecreasing, continuous, $d\lambda(\{s \le t : X(s) \in \partial D\}) = \lambda(t),$

X is a solution if there exist W, γ, λ s.t. SDER is satisfied

For non semimartingale reflecting diffusions: Ramanan (2006), Ramanan-Reiman (2008), Kang-Ramanan (2010), Lakner-Reed-Zwart (2017), etc.

For normal reflection: Tanaka (1979), Saisho (1987), Bass-Hsu (1990), De Blassie-Toby (1993), Z.-Q. Chen (1993), Bass-Burdzy (2006, 2008), etc.

Costantini

Semimartingale obliquely reflecting diffusions: state of art

- Seminal works: Harrison and Reiman (1981), Varadhan and Williams (1984), Lions and Sznitman (1984), Williams (1985), Reiman and Williams (1988), Bernard and El Kharroubi (1991), Taylor and Williams (1993), etc.
- In a smooth cone in \mathbb{R}^d with radially constant direction of reflection, Kwon and Williams (1991) characterize Reflecting Brownian Motion (RBM) as a solution of a submartingale problem and give necessary and sufficient conditions for existence and uniqueness of the solution that spends zero time at the vertex.
 - C. and Kurtz (2022) gives a sufficient condition for the solution to be a semimartingale.
- In a convex polyhedron in \mathbb{R}^d , with constant direction of reflection on each face, Dai and Williams (1996) give sufficient conditions for existence and uniqueness of semimartingale RBM. The conditions are also necessary for a simple polyhedron.
- In a general piecewise C¹ domain in ℝ^d, Dupuis and Ishii (1993) give sufficient conditions for strong existence and pathwise uniqueness of the solution to SDER.

Examples where none of the above results applies

$$g^2$$
 n^2 n^1 g^1

 $|\operatorname{angle}(g^1, n^1)| = |\operatorname{angle}(g^2, n^2)| = \operatorname{constant} \geq \frac{\pi}{4}$

• The two examples from stochastic networks

Costantini

RBM in a convex polyhedron

m

$$D := \bigcap_{i=1}^{m} D^{i}, \quad D^{i} \text{ a halfspace,} \quad N(x) := \left\{ n : (y - x) \cdot n \ge 0, \ \forall y \in \overline{D} \right\}$$
$$(x) \equiv g^{i}, \quad G(x) \text{ closed, convex cone generated by } \left\{ g^{i}, x \in \partial D^{i} \right\} \quad b, \sigma \text{ constant}$$

Theorem (Dai-Williams 1996)

a) For every $x \in \partial D$, there exists $e \in N(x)$ such that

$$e \cdot g > 0, \quad \forall g \in G(x) - \{0\}.$$

b) For every $x \in \partial D$, there exists $v \in G(x)$ such that

$$v \cdot n > 0, \quad \forall n \in N(x) - \{0\}.$$

Then, for every initial condition $X_0 \in \overline{D}$, there exists a solution of SDER and it is unique in distribution. The solution is a strong Markov process.

Remark

 g^{l}

b) is a necessary condition for existence of RBM. In dimension 2 and in a simple polyhedron, a) and b) are equivalent.

Costantini

Piecewise smooth domains in \mathbb{R}^2

D bounded, connected, open set in \mathbb{R}^2

Define

$$N(x) := \left\{ n : \liminf_{y \in \overline{D}, \, y \to x} \frac{(y-x)}{|y-x|} \cdot n \ge 0 \right\}.$$

Assume *D* admits the following representation:

$$D = \bigcap_{i=1}^{m} D^{i}, \quad \partial D^{i} \in \mathcal{C}^{1},$$

and, defining

$$I(x) := \{i : x \in \partial D^i\}, \quad x \in \partial D,$$

the set of "corners" $\{x \in \partial D : |I(x)| > 1\}$ is finite.

 $g^{i}(x)$ direction of reflection at $x \in \partial D^{i}$, $\inf_{x \in \partial D^{i}} g^{i}(x) \cdot n^{i}(x) > 0$.

G(x) the closed, convex cone generated by $\{g^i(x), i \in I(x)\}$

Cone points and cusp points



N(x) does not contain any full straight line: cone case.



N(x) contains a full straight line: cusp case.

In the cusp case we assume that the contact that ∂D^i and ∂D^j have between themselves is of order not higher than each of them has with their common tangent.

Piecewise smooth domains in \mathbb{R}^2 : existence and uniqueness

 g^i, b, σ Lischitz continuous, $\sigma(x)$ nonsingular at every corner $x \in \partial D$

Theorem (C. - Kurtz 2023)

a) For every $x \in \partial D$, there exists $e \in N(x)$ such that

$$e \cdot g > 0, \quad \forall g \in G(x) - \{0\}.$$

Then, for every initial condition $X_0 \in \overline{D}$, there exists a solution of SDER and it is unique in distribution. The solution is a strong Markov process.

Remark

In the case of RBM in a convex polygon with constant directions of reflection, our condition coincides with Dai and Williams': in this sense it is optimal.

Piecewise smooth domains in \mathbb{R}^2 : examples





- In dimension 2, for any piecewise smooth domain *D* with a finite number of corners there is an open covering $\{U_k\}$ of \overline{D} such that each U_k contains at most one corner x^k .
- Let D_k be a domain such that $\overline{D_k} \cap U_k = \overline{D} \cap U_k$ and such that ∂D_k is smooth except at x^k . By a localization result in C. Kurtz (2023), if SDER has at most one (in distribution) solution in each $\overline{D_k}$ then it has at most one solution in \overline{D} .
- If x^k is a cone point, uniqueness in D
 k has been proved in C. Kurtz (2022). The result holds in ℝ^d.
- If x^k is a cusp point, uniqueness in D
 k has been proved in C. Kurtz (2018). The result can be extended to ℝ^d for a class of cusps (work in progress).

Uniqueness for a domain with one singular point

- it is enough to prove uniqueness among strong Markov solutions starting at 0 (C. Kurtz (2019))
- it is enough to prove that any two strong Markov solutions starting at 0,
 X and X have the same hitting distributions:

$$au_{\delta} := \inf\{t \ge 0 : |X(t)| = \delta\}, \quad \widetilde{ au}_{\delta} := \inf\{t \ge 0 : |\widetilde{X}(t)| = \delta\}$$

 $\tau_{\delta}, \widetilde{\tau}_{\delta} < \infty \ \ a.s. \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{P}(X(\tau_{\delta}) \in C) = \mathbb{P}(\widetilde{X}(\widetilde{\tau}_{\delta}) \in C) \quad \forall C, \, \forall \delta \leq \delta_0.$



Uniqueness for a domain with one singular point



• X, \widetilde{X} strong Markov solutions starting at 0; consider the Markov chains $\{\xi_h\} := \{X(\tau_{n-h})\}_{0 \le h \le n}, \qquad \{\widetilde{\xi}_h\} := \{\widetilde{X}(\widetilde{\tau}_{n-h})\}_{0 \le h \le n}$

killed if X, \tilde{X} reach the origin before the next layer

- the transition kernels are the same, the difference between the two killed Markov chains is only in their initial distributions on E_n
- if the family of the transition kernels is "ergodic" ⇒ the distributions of the two killed Markov chains after *n* steps, i.e. their distributions on *E*₀, as *n* → ∞ should "forget" the initial distributions, hence be the same

A reverse ergodic theorem for inhomogeneous killed MCs

 X, \tilde{X} two strong Markov solutions starting at 0. One can prove

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{E}\big[f(X(\tau_{\delta}))\big] = \frac{\int_{E_n} \big(Q_n \cdots Q_1 f\big)(x)\mu_n(dx)}{\int_{E_n} \big(Q_n \cdots Q_1 \mathbf{1}\big)(x)\mu_n(dx)}, \quad \mu_n(C) := \mathbb{P}(X(\tau_n) \in C), \\ & \mathbb{E}\big[f(\widetilde{X}(\widetilde{\tau}_{\delta}))\big] = \frac{\int_{E_n} \big(Q_n \cdots Q_1 f\big)(x)\widetilde{\mu}_n(dx)}{\int_{E_n} \big(Q_n \cdots Q_1 \mathbf{1}\big)(x)\widetilde{\mu}_n(dx)}, \quad \widetilde{\mu}_n(C) := \mathbb{P}(\widetilde{X}(\widetilde{\tau}_n) \in C), \end{split}$$

where

$$Q_k(x,C) := \mathbb{P}ig(au_{k-1}^x < artheta^x, X^x(au_{k-1}^x) \in Cig), \quad x \in E_k, \qquad C \subseteq E_{k-1}, \quad k \ge 1,$$

and X^x is a solution starting at x (uniquely determined up to $\vartheta^x := \inf\{t \ge 0 : X^x(t) = 0\}, \tau^x_{k-1} := \inf\{t \ge 0 : X^x(t) \in E_{k-1}\}.$

Goal:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\int_{E_n} (Q_n \cdots Q_1 f)(x) \ \mu_n(dx)}{\int_{E_n} (Q_n \cdots Q_1 \mathbf{1})(x) \ \mu_n(dx)} \text{ is independent of } \{\mu_n\}$$

A reverse ergodic theorem for inhomogeneous killed MCs

Theorem (C. - Kurtz 2022)

 $E_0, \ldots E_n, \ldots$ a sequence of compact metric spaces, Q_n a subprobability transition kernel from E_n to E_{n-1}

 $f_{n,\widetilde{x}}(x,\cdot)$ the Radon-Nykodim derivative of $Q_n(x,\cdot)$ w.r.t. $(Q_n(x,\cdot) + Q_n(\widetilde{x},\cdot))$

$$\epsilon_n(x,\widetilde{x}) := \int \left(f_{n,\widetilde{x}}(x,y) \wedge f_{n,x}(\widetilde{x},y) \right) \left(Q_n(x,dy) + Q_n(\widetilde{x},dy) \right), \quad x,\widetilde{x} \in E_n.$$

Assume Q_n is not identically zero and there exist $c_0 > 0$ and $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that (i) $\inf_n \inf_{x, \tilde{x} \in E_n} \epsilon_n(x, \tilde{x}) \ge \epsilon_0$,

(ii) $\inf_n \inf_{x,\tilde{x}\in E_n} (Q_n \cdots Q_1)(x,E_0)/(Q_n \cdots Q_1)(\tilde{x},E_0) \ge c_0.$

Then $\sup_{x \in E_n} Q_n \cdots Q_1 \mathbf{1}(x) > 0$ and, for every $f \in \mathcal{C}(E_0)$, $\{\mu_n\}, \mu_n \in \mathcal{P}(E_n)$, the limit

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\int Q_n\cdots Q_1f(x)\mu_n(dx)}{\int Q_n\cdots Q_1\mathbf{1}(x)\mu_n(dx)}$$

exists and is independent of $\{\mu_n\}$.

Lower bound on $\epsilon_n(x, \tilde{x})$: cone case

Let $x^n \in E_n$ be s.t. $\rho^{-n}x^n \to \overline{x}$. Then

$$\rho^{-n}X^{x^n}(\rho^{2n}\cdot) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \overline{X}^{\overline{x}},$$

where \overline{X} is the Reflecting Brownian Motion in the "tangent cone"

$$\mathcal{K} := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x \cdot n^1(0) > 0, \, x \cdot n^2(0) > 0 \},\$$

with directions of reflection $g^1(0)$, $g^2(0)$ and coefficients b = 0, $\sigma = \sigma(0)$.



Same argument as in the cone case, but different choice of $\{E_n\}$:



$$q_1 := \psi_2(\delta) - \psi_1(\delta), \quad \delta_1 := \delta - q_1,$$
$$q_n := \psi_2(\delta_{n-1}) - \psi_1(\delta_{n-1}), \quad \delta_n := \delta_{n-1} - q_n \quad (q_n^{-1}\delta_n \to \infty).$$

Lower bound on $\epsilon_n(x, \tilde{x})$: cusp case

Let
$$x^n \in E_n$$
 be s.t. $q_n^{-1}x_2^n \to \overline{x}_2 \ (q_n^{-1}x_1^n = q_n^{-1}\delta_n \to \infty)$. Then
 $q_n^{-1} (X_1^{x^n}(q_n^2 \cdot) - \delta_n, X_2^{x^n}(q_n^2 \cdot)) \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{\to} \overline{X}^{\overline{x}},$

where \overline{X} is the Reflecting Brownian Motion in the strip

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : L < x_2 < L+1\}, \quad L := \lim_{x_1 \to 0^+} \frac{\psi_1(x_1)}{\psi_2(x_1) - \psi_1(x_1)},$$

with directions of reflection $g^1(0)$, $g^2(0)$ and coefficients b = 0, $\sigma = \sigma(0)$.



Domains with one singular point in \mathbb{R}^d : cone case

D bounded, connected, open set, $\partial D - \{0\} \in C^1$.

There is an open cone \mathcal{K} ,

 $\mathcal{K} := \{ rz, z \in \mathcal{S}, r > 0 \}, \ \mathcal{S} \text{ a smooth domain in } S^{d-1},$

such that, for some $r_D > 0$, $r \le r_D$,

 $d_H(\overline{D} \cap \partial B_r(0), \overline{\mathcal{K}} \cap \partial B_r(0)) \leq c_D r^2, \quad d_H(\partial D \cap \partial B_r(0), \partial \mathcal{K} \cap \partial B_r(0)) \leq c_D r^2,$ and for |x| = r, z the closest point to $\frac{x}{r}$ on ∂S ,



Existence and uniqueness for the cone case

g(x) the direction of reflection at $x \in \partial D - \{0\}$, $\inf_{x \in \partial D - \{0\}} g(x) \cdot n(x) > 0$.

There is a smooth, unit vector field \overline{g} on ∂S such that, for $x \in \partial D$, |x| = r, z the closest point to $\frac{x}{r}$ on ∂S ,

$$|g(x) - \overline{g}(z)| \le c_g r, \qquad r \le r_D.$$

in particular, for $x^n \in \partial D$, $|x^n| \to 0$, $\frac{x^n}{|x^n|} \to z$, $g(x^n) \to \overline{g}(z)$)

G(0) the closed, convex cone generated by $\{\overline{g}(\overline{z})), \overline{z} \in \partial S\}, \sigma(0)$ nonsingular

Theorem (C. - Kurtz 2022)

a) There exists $e \in N(0)$ such that

$$e \cdot g > 0, \quad \forall g \in G(0) - \{0\}.$$

b) $G(0) \cap \mathcal{K} \neq \emptyset.$

then, for every initial condition $X_0 \in \overline{D}$, there exists a solution of SDER and it is unique in distribution. The solution is a strong Markov process.

Domains with one singular point in \mathbb{R}^d : cusp case

D bounded, connected open set, $\partial D - \{0\} \in C^1$ There are a domain $D_0 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ and a function $\psi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$, such that, for some $c_D > 0$,

$$D \cap \{x_1 \le c_D\} = \{x = (x_1, x^{d-1}), x^{d-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} : 0 < x_1 \le c_D, \ \frac{x^{d-1}}{\psi(x_1)} \in D_0\}$$

 $\begin{aligned} D_0 \text{ bounded,} \quad & 0 \in D_0, \quad \partial D_0 \text{ smooth,} \\ & \psi \in \mathcal{C}^1[0,\infty), \quad \psi(0) = \psi'(0) = 0, \quad \psi(t) > 0 \text{ for } t > 0, \end{aligned}$



Existence and uniqueness for the cusp case

g(x) the direction of reflection at $x \in \partial D - \{0\}$, $\inf_{x \in \partial D - \{0\}} g(x) \cdot n(x) > 0$.

There is a smooth, unit vector field \overline{g} on ∂D_0 such that, for $x \in \partial D$,

$$|g(x) - \overline{g}(\frac{x^{d-1}}{\psi(x_1)})| \le c_g x_1, \qquad x_1 \le c_D,$$

(in particular, for $x^n \in \partial D$, $|x^n| \to 0$, $\frac{(x^n)^{d-1}}{\psi(x_1^n)} \to z$, $g(x^n) \to \overline{g}(z)$)

G(0) the closed, convex cone generated by $\{\overline{g}(z)), z \in \partial D_0\}, \sigma(0)$ nonsingular

Theorem (work in progress)

a) There exists $e \in N(0)$ such that

$$e \cdot g > 0, \quad \forall g \in G(0) - \{0\}.$$

Then, for every initial condition $X_0 \in \overline{D}$, there exists a solution of SDER and it is unique in distribution. The solution is a strong Markov process.

Existence for piecewise smooth domains in \mathbb{R}^d

D bounded, connected, open set in \mathbb{R}^d

Assume *D* admits a representation $D = \bigcap_{i=1}^{m} D^{i}, \partial D^{i} \in C^{1}$. such that

$$N(x) = \{\sum_{i \in I(x)} \eta_i n^i(x), \, \eta_i \ge 0\}, \quad \forall x \in \partial D.$$

For $y \in D^c$ let

$$I(\mathbf{y}) := \{i : \mathbf{y} \notin D^i\},\$$

For every $x \in \partial D$ there is $\delta(x) > 0$ such that $I(y) \subseteq I(x)$ for $y \in B_{\delta(x)}(x)$.

For $x \in \partial D$, define the following family of subsets of I(x)

$$\mathcal{I}(x) := \big\{ I \subseteq I(x) : \ I = I(y) \text{ for some } y \in \overline{D}^c \cap B_{\delta(x)}(x) \big\},\$$

and the subcones

$$N^{I}(x) := \{ \sum_{i \in I} \eta_{i} n^{i}(x), \, \eta_{i} \ge 0 \}, \quad G^{I}(x) := \{ \sum_{i \in I} \eta_{i} g^{i}(x), \, \eta_{i} \ge 0 \}, \quad I \in \mathcal{I}(x).$$

Existence for piecewise smooth domains in \mathbb{R}^d

Theorem (C. - Kurtz 2019)

$$b, \sigma, g^i$$
 continuous, $\inf_{x \in \partial D^i} g^i(x) \cdot n^i(x) > 0$

a) for every $x \in \partial D$, there exists $e \in N(x)$ such that

$$e \cdot g > 0, \quad \forall g \in G(x) - \{0\}$$

b) for every $x \in \partial D$, for every $I \in \mathcal{I}(x)$, $N^{I}(x)$ does not contain any full straight line and for every $n \in N^{I}(x) - \{0\}$ there is $g \in G^{I}(x)$ such that

$$n \cdot g > 0$$

Then, for every initial condition $X_0 \in \overline{D}$, there exists a strong Markov solution of SDER.

If uniqueness in distribution holds among strong Markov solutions of SDER then it holds among all solutions.

Remark

In the case of a simple, convex polyhedron, our conditions are equivalent to Dai and Williams'.

Costantini

Keypoints of proof

- *X* is a solution of SDER if and only if *X* is a natural solution of the corresponding constrained martingale problem (introduced by Kurtz (1987) and (1989))
- One can construct a natural solution of the constrained martingale problem by a limiting procedure without proving oscillation estimates.

One can formulate constrained martingale problems for all sorts of boundary behavior (Wentzell boundary conditions, jumps from the boundary, etc.)

Constrained martingale problem

$$Af(x) := \nabla f(x) \cdot b(x) + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \left(\sigma(x) \sigma^T(x) D^2 f(x) \right)$$

$$\Xi := \{ (x, u) \in \partial D \times \mathbb{R}^d : u \in G(x), \ |u| = 1 \}, \quad Bf(x, u) := \nabla f(x) \cdot u$$

.

Constrained martingale problem (Kurtz 1987, 1989. C.- Kurtz 2019) X is a solution of the *constrained martingale problem* for (A, D, B, Ξ) if there exists a random measure Λ on $[0, \infty) \times \Xi$ and a filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ such that

$$f(X(t)) - f(X(0)) - \int_0^t Af(X(s))ds - \int_{[0,t]\times\Xi} Bf(x,u)\Lambda(ds \times dx \times du)$$

is a $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ -local martingale. *X* is a *natural solution* if

$$X(t) = Y(\lambda_0^{-1}(t)), \quad \Lambda([0,t] \times C) = \int_{[0,\lambda_0^{-1}(t)] \times S_1(0)} \mathbf{1}_C(Y(s),u) \Lambda_1(ds \times du),$$

where $(Y, \lambda_0, \Lambda_1)$ is a solution of the *controlled martingale problem* for (A, D, B, Ξ) (a slowed down martingale problem).

C. Costantini, T.G. Kurtz, Localization for constrained martingale problems and optimal conditions for uniqueness of reflecting diffusions in 2-dimensional domains, arXiv:2206.05621v3, 2023

C. Costantini, T.G. Kurtz, An inhomogeneous reverse ergodic theorem and application to a new uniqueness result for reflecting diffusions, arXiv:2106.07208v4, 2022

C. Costantini, T.G. Kurtz, Markov selection for constrained martingale problems, *Electron. J. Probab.* **24**, no. 135, 2019, https://projecteuclid.org/euclid.ejp/1573700462

C. Costantini, T.G. Kurtz, Existence and uniqueness of reflecting diffusions in cusps, *Electron. J. Probab.* **23**, no. 84, 2018, https://projecteuclid.org/euclid.ejp/1536717743