Infinite Atlas Model Domains of Attraction, Extremality, and Fluctuations

Amarjit Budhiraja University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill Joint works with Sayan Banerjee and Peter Rudzis

40 Years of RBM and Related Topics, April 2023.





< 回 > < 三 > < 三 >

Outline.

- Long time behavior of certain IPS.
- Model is an infinite dimensional RBM.
- Long time asymptotics of its f.d. analogue: well understood from Harrison and Williams.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Atlas model with d + 1 Brownian particles.

- d + 1 Brownian particles in \mathbb{R} . Lowest particle gets a drift of +1.
- Particle system with *topological interactions* [Carinci, A. De Masi, C. Giardina, and E. Presutti (2016)]: Atlas model.
- Stochastic portfolio theory. [Fernholz(2002), Fernholz and Karatzas(2009)].
- Connections with classical IPS (e.g. simple exclusion process) [Karatzas, Pal and Shklonikov (2016)]. ..
- ...also with nonlinear diffusions and McKean-Vlasov equations [Dembo, Shklonikov, Varadhan, Zeitouni (2016)]...
- .. and Aldous' *Up the River* stochastic control problem [Aldous 2002].

Finite Dimensional Atlas Model: Some Known Results

- Strong existence and pathwise uniqueness [Ichiba, Karatzas, Shklonikov[IKS] (2013)].
- Denote the ranked particle system as

$$Y_0(t) \leq Y_1(t) \leq \cdots Y_d(t)$$

and the gap process

$$Z_i(t) \doteq Y_i(t) - Y_{i-1}(t), \ 1 \leq i \leq d.$$

Then $Z = (Z_i)_{1 \le i \le d}$ is a Harrison-Reiman RBM in \mathbb{R}^d_+ with oblique reflections.

(日)

Finite Dimensional Atlas Model: Some Known Results

 The process Z is ergodic. The unique invariant probability measure is given as

$$\pi^{(d)} := \bigotimes_{i=1}^{d} \operatorname{Exp}(2(1 - i/(d+1))).$$

[Harrison and Williams (1987a, 1987b), Pal and Pitman(2008).]

 Geometric ergodicity [B. and Lee (2007)], explicit dimension dependent rates [Banerjee and B. (2020), Banerjee and Brown (2021)].

イロト 不得 トイラト イラト 一日

Infinite Atlas Model.

Now consider an infinite system of particles, i.e.

 $d = \infty$.

Amarjit Budhiraja University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill Joint works with Sayan Banerjee and Peter Rudzis

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Infinite Atlas Model

Infinite Atlas Model: $d = \infty$.

• un-ranked particle processes: $U_i(t)$, ranked particle processes: $Y_i(t)$. Evolution:

 $dU_i(s) = \mathbf{1}(U_i(s) = Y_0(s))ds + dW_i(s), \ s \ge 0, i \in \mathbb{N}_0$

where $\{W_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ are mutually independent Brownian motions

• Wellposedness. Suppose

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha [U_i(0)]_+^2} < \infty, \ \forall \alpha > 0.$$

Then strong existence and pathwise uniqueness holds for unranked system and the ranked process is well defined[Sarantsev(2017), IKS(2013)].

Infinite Atlas Model: $d = \infty$.

• SDE for ranked system:

$$dY_i(t) = \mathbf{1}(i=0)dt + dB_i(t) - \frac{1}{2}dL_{i+1}(t) + \frac{1}{2}dL_i(t), \ t \ge 0, i \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

- L₀(·) ≡ 0. L_i(·): collision local time of (i − 1)-th and i-th particles. B_i are independent BM.
- Gap Process: \mathbb{R}^{∞}_+ -valued process $\mathbf{Z}(\cdot) = (Z_1(\cdot), Z_2(\cdot), \dots)$:

$$Z_i(\cdot) := Y_i(\cdot) - Y_{i-1}(\cdot), \ i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

イロト 不得 トイラト イラト 一日

• Goal: Study long-time behavior of $Z(\cdot)$.

Infinite Atlas Model: The Gap process

- One invariant distribution: $\pi_0 \doteq \bigotimes_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{Exp}(2)$. [Pal and Pitman (2008).]
- Recall, d-dim. Atlas: unique inv. dist. is

$$\pi^{(d)} := \bigotimes_{i=1}^{d} \operatorname{Exp}(2(1 - i/(d+1))).$$

- PP Conjecture(2008): π₀ is the unique invariant probability measure.
- Sarantsev and Tsai (2017) False. These are all stationary:

$$\pi_a := \bigotimes_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathsf{Exp}(2 + ia), \ a \ge 0.$$

Amarjit Budhiraja University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill Joint works with Sayan Banerjee and Peter Rudzis

Infinite Atlas Model

Questions of Interest

- Local stability structure Domains of attraction.
- Extremality/Ergodicity of $\{\pi_a\}$.
- π_a -Equilibrium fluctuations.

Amarjit Budhiraja University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill Joint works with Sayan Banerjee and Peter Rudzis Infinite Atlas Model

(日)

Domains of Attraction (DoA): Recent Results

• For a suitable $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^{\infty}_{+})$, $\hat{\nu}_{t} = \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{Z}(t))$, when $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{Z}(0)) = \nu$.

• Let
$$\nu_t = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \hat{\nu}_s ds$$
.

- Definition.
 - ν is in the strong DoA of π_a if $\hat{\nu}_t \to \pi_a$ as $t \to \infty$.
 - ν is in the weak DoA of π_a if $\nu_t \to \pi_a$ as $t \to \infty$.

• Sarantsev (2017): If
$$\nu \stackrel{d}{\geq} \pi_0$$
 then $\nu \in sDoA(\pi_0)$.

Amarjit Budhiraja University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill Joint works with Sayan Banerjee and Peter Rudzis Infinite Atlas Model

Domains of Attraction (DoA): Recent Results

• Dembo, Jara, Olla [DJO](2019): Let $\nu = \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{Z}(0))$, where $\mathbf{Z}(0) = (Z_j(0))_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ a.s. satisfy:

$$\limsup_{m\to\infty} \frac{1}{m^{\beta}\theta(m)} \sum_{j=1}^{m} (\log Z_j(0))_{-} < \infty, \qquad (1)$$

$$\liminf_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{m^{\beta^2/(1+\beta)}\theta(m)} \sum_{j=1}^m Z_j(0) = \infty,$$
 (2)

$$\limsup_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{m^{\beta}\theta(m)} \sum_{j=1}^{m} Z_j(0) < \infty$$
(3)

イロト 不得 トイラト イラト 一日

Here: $\beta \in [1, 2)$, $\inf_m \{\theta(m - 1)/\theta(m)\} > 0$, $\{\theta(m)\}$ non-decreasing. Also, if $\beta = 1$, $\theta(m) \ge \log m$.

Then $\nu \in sDoA(\pi_0)$.

Some Remarks

- $\nu \stackrel{d}{\geq} \pi_0$ says: particles not 'too closely packed'.
- DJO's third condition says: particles not too spread out.
 - E.g. $Z_j(0) \sim e^{j^2}$ not allowed by DJO but satisfies S's condition.

- Condition (1) of DJO requires all gaps to be strictly positive.
- Proofs: reversibility + Dirichlet forms and RE estimates.
- No results available for $a \neq 0$.

Some Challenges for $a \neq 0$.

- DJO approximate infinite Atlas by finite Atlas with *d* + 1 particles:
 - Law of the first k gaps \Rightarrow k-marginal of π_0 , as $t \to \infty$ and $d \to \infty$ together, suitably.
 - For any T, $\exists d_T \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. on [0, T], d_T -dim. Atlas \approx lowest $d_T + 1$ particles in infinite Atlas.

イロト 不得 トイラト イラト 一日

Some Challenges for $a \neq 0$.

- Crucial fact: Unique stationary measure of the d dim. Atlas, converges to π_0 as $d \to \infty$.
- So a finite Atlas approximation is 'tailor-made' to select π_0 .
- For DoA of π_a perhaps consider other f.d. approx. But coupling them with the infinite Atlas not clear.

Amarjit Budhiraja University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill Joint works with Sayan Banerjee and Peter Rudzis Infinite Atlas Model

DoA: Case $a \neq 0$.

- Coupling of two prob. meas. μ_1 and μ_2 on S_1 and S_2 , is a pair (X_1, X_2) of $S_1 \times S_2$ valued r.v., s.t. $\mathcal{L}(X_i) = \mu_i$, i = 1, 2.
- What to Expect? Let W_i be iid Exp(2). Then for a, a' > 0, with

$$V_{a,i} = \frac{2}{2+ia}W_i, \ V_{a',i} = \frac{2}{2+ia'}W_i, \ i \in \mathbb{N}$$
$$V_a = (V_{a,i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, \ V_{a'} = (V_{a',i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ is a coupling of } \pi_a \text{ and } \pi_{a'}.$$

• Clearly, $\pi_{a'} \notin wDoA(\pi_a)$. Also,

$$\sum_{i=1}^d |V_{a,i} - V_{a',i}| \sim O(\log d).$$

DoA: Case $a \neq 0$.

• Theorem [Banerjee and B. 2022] Fix a > 0. Suppose $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^{\infty}_{+})$ satisfies the following:

There is a coupling $(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V}_a)$ of ν and π_a s.t., a.s.,

$$\limsup_{d\to\infty} \frac{\log\log d}{\log d} \sum_{i=1}^d |V_{a,i} - U_i| = 0, \text{ and } \limsup_{d\to\infty} \frac{U_d}{dV_{a,d}} < \infty.$$

イロト 不得 トイラト イラト 一日

Then $\nu \in wDoA(\pi_a)$.

Conjecture. $(\log d)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{d} |V_{a,i} - U_i| \rightarrow 0$ is necessary.

Remarks.

• Corollary. Fix a > 0. Let

$$\nu \doteq \bigotimes_{d=1}^{\infty} \mathsf{Exp}(2 + da + \lambda_d).$$

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ ヨト

3

• Note. If $\lambda_d = da'$ for some a' > 0, clearly $\nu \notin wDoA(\pi_a)$.

• If
$$|\lambda_d| = o(d/\log \log d)$$
, then $\nu \in wDoA(\pi_a)$.

Main Result: Case a = 0.

• Theorem (Banerjee and B. (2022)) Suppose $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^{\infty}_{+})$ satisfies:

there is a coupling (\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V}) of ν and π_0 s.t., a.s.,

$$\liminf_{d\to\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}(\log d)}\sum_{i=1}^{d}U_i\wedge V_i=\infty. \tag{A}$$

Then $\nu \in wDoA(\pi_0)$.

- Note that if $\nu \stackrel{d}{\geq} \pi_0$ then condition holds.
- No upper bound constraints on gaps and gaps need not be positive.

Comparison with DJO.

DJO: Let $\mathbf{U} \sim \nu$. Suppose $U_j = \lambda_j \Theta_j$, Θ_j are iid, nonnegative, and • $E\Theta_1 < \infty$, $E \log(\Theta_1)_- < \infty$.

Suppose one of the following hold:

(i) for some $c \in [1, \infty)$, $\lambda_j \in [c^{-1}, c]$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

(ii) $\Theta_1 \sim Exp(1)$ and one of the following holds:

(a) $\lambda_d \uparrow \infty$ and $\limsup_{d \to \infty} \frac{1}{d^{\beta}} \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i < \infty$ for some $\beta < 2$.

(b)
$$\lambda_d \downarrow 0$$
 and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}\log d} \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i \to \infty$, as $d \to \infty$.

Then $\nu \in sDoA(\pi_0)$.

Corollary (Banerjee and B.).

• Corollary. Let $\mathbf{U} \sim \nu$. Suppose $U_j = \lambda_j \Theta_j, j \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\{\Theta_j\}$ are iid and non-negative satisfying: $E\Theta_1 < \infty, E \log(\Theta_1) - < \infty \quad \mathbb{P}(\Theta_1 > 0) > 0$,

and suppose one of the following holds:

- (i) for some $c \in [1, \infty)$, $\lambda_j \in [c^{-1}, c]$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. lim $\inf_{j \to \infty} \lambda_j > 0$,
- (ii) $\Theta_1 \sim Exp(1)$ and one of the following holds: (a) $\lambda_d \uparrow \infty$ and $\limsup_{d \to \infty} \frac{1}{d^{\beta}} \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i < \infty$ for some $\beta < 2$. [See (i)]

(b) $\frac{\lambda_d \downarrow 0}{\sqrt{d \log d}} \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i \to \infty$, as $d \to \infty$

Then $\nu \in \operatorname{sDoA}(\pi_0)$ wDoA (π_0) .

Amarjit Budhiraja University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill Joint works with Sayan Banerjee and Peter Rudzis

Infinite Atlas Model

Some Proof Ingredients.

- Synchronous coupling of infinite ordered particle dynamics starting from two initial conditions.
- Central ingredient: Estimates on the decay rate of the *L*¹ distance between the gaps.
- Analysis of excursions of the difference of the coupled processes.
- Each excursion contracts the L^1 distance by a fixed deterministic amount.
- Key: appropriately control the number and the lengths of such excursions.
- Other ingredients: Monotonicity properties of the gap process, quantifying the influence of far away coordinates on the first few gaps.
- Reduction to coupled systems with starting configurations differing only in finitely many coordinates.

Extremality of π_a .

- For $a \neq a'$, π_a and $\pi_{a'}$ are mutually singular (Kakutani's theorem).
- Extremality/Ergodicity of π_a ?
- Definition An invariant prob. meas. ν is extremal if, whenever for some ε ∈ (0, 1) and invariant prob. ν₁, ν₂ we have ν = εν₁ + (1 − ε)ν₂, then ν₁ = ν₂ = ν.

(日)

- Definition An invariant prob. meas. is ergodic if every (T_t) -invariant $\psi \in L^2(\nu)$ is constant ν -a.s.
- ν is extremal iff ν is ergodic.

Extremality: Previous works.

- Extremality in IPS on countably infinite graphs: Liggett(1976), Andjel(1982), Sethuraman(2001), Balázas, Rassoul-Agha, Seppäläinen, Sethuraman (2007).
- Interactions are Poissonian effective generator methods.
- Interactions in Infinite Atlas are very 'singular' (local times); generator methods are less tractable.
- Also, state space is not countable; non-smooth boundary; oblique reflections.

Extremality: Main Result.

• Consider the general ${m g}$ -Atlas model: drift vector ${m g}\in {\mathcal D}$, where

$$\mathcal{D} \doteq \Big\{ \boldsymbol{g} = (g_0, g_1, \ldots) \in \mathbb{R}^\infty : \sum_{i=0}^\infty g_i^2 < \infty \Big\}.$$

• Sarantsev(2017): All of the following are stationary distributions:

$$\pi_a^{\mathbf{g}} \doteq \otimes_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathsf{Exp}(n(2\bar{g}_n + a)), \ a > -2 \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bar{g}_n,$$

where $\bar{g}_n \doteq \frac{1}{n}(g_0 + \cdots + g_{n-1})$.

- $\boldsymbol{g} = (1, 0, \cdots)$ is the Atlas model.
- Harris(1965): $\mathbf{g}^0 = \mathbf{0}$. Extremality of $\{\pi_a^0 = \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Exp}(na)\}$ studied in Ruzmaikina-Aizenman(2005).

Extremality: Main Result.

- Theorem (Banerjee, B. (2022b)). For each g ∈ D and a > -2 inf_{n∈N} g
 _n, π^g_a is extremal for the g-Atlas model.
- If ${m g}\in {\mathcal D}_1$, where

$$\mathcal{D}_1 \doteq \{ \boldsymbol{g} \in \mathcal{D} : \exists N_1 < N_2 < \ldots \rightarrow \infty \text{ s.t. } \bar{g}_k > \bar{g}_{N_j}, \ k = 1, \ldots, N_j - 1, \forall j \ge 1 \},$$

 $\pi_a^{\mathbf{g}}$ is also an extremal invariant distribution for

$$a=-2\inf_{n\in\mathbb{N}}ar{g}_n.$$

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

In particular for the infinite Atlas model π_a is extremal for all a ≥ 0.

Proof Idea.

- Goal: (T_t) -invariant $\psi \in L^2(\pi_a^g)$ is constant π_a^g -a.e.
- Consider first: $\boldsymbol{g} = \boldsymbol{g}^1$ and $\boldsymbol{a} = 0$, $\pi_{\boldsymbol{a}}^{\boldsymbol{g}} = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{Exp}(2)$:
 - So the coordinate sequence $\{Z_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ on \mathbb{R}^{∞}_+ is iid under π^{g}_{a} .
 - Hewitt-Savage: suffices to show that ψ is π^g_a-a.e. invariant under all finite permutations of the coordinates... enough to consider transpositions of the *i*-th and (*i* + 1)-th coordinates.

イロト 不得 トイラト イラト 一日

Proof Idea.

- Key: construction of a mirror coupling of the first *i* + 1 BM, and synchronous coupling of the remaining BM of a pair of nearby initial configurations.
- Estimates on the probability of a successful coupling.
- General π^g_a: More involved as {Z_i}[∞]_{i=1} is not iid. Exploit scaling properties of Exponential distributions.

Amarjit Budhiraja University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill Joint works with Sayan Banerjee and Peter Rudzis Infinite Atlas Model

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

Open Question.

- Are these the only extremal invariant probability distributions?
- When g = 0, Ruzmaikina-Aizenman(2005): Yes [under some integrability].
- Case of a general \boldsymbol{g} (even \boldsymbol{g}^1) is open.
- Full characterization: simple exclusion process Liggett(1976), the zero range process Andjel(1982).
- Some features of these models: particle density for distinct extremal measures differ by a linear factor; monotonicity of 'synchronously coupled' dynamics; efficient generator methods.

Open Question.

• Some challenges for the Atlas Model:

- singular interactions(local time)
- point process of particles for π^g_a has an exponentially growing intensity when a > 0, a nonlinear dependence on a
- the inhomogeneity of the topological interactions: local stability in a region of the particle cloud is affected both by the density of particles in the neighborhood and their relative ranks in the full system.

・ロト ・ 母 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Answer to a Simpler Question.

- Are these the only extremal product form invariant probability distributions?
- Theorem (Banerjee and B. (2022)). Yes. (under an integrability condition)
- In fact these are the only product form invariant distributions (extremal or not).

Equilibrium Fluctuations.

 Dembo-Tsai(2017): Consider the π₀-stationary infinite Atlas model:

$$0 = Y_0(0) \le Y_1(0) \le Y_2(0) \cdots$$

イロト 不得 トイラト イラト 一日

- gaps $Y_{i+1}(0) Y_i(0)$ iid distributed as Exp(2).
- Define for $x \ge 0$, $\mathcal{X}^{N}(t,x) \doteq N^{-1/4} \left(2Y_{\lfloor N^{1/2}x/2 \rfloor}(Nt) - \lfloor N^{1/2}x/2 \rfloor \right).$
- Convergence of X^N(0, ·) to BM √2B(·) follows from Donsker's theorem.

Equilibrium Fluctuations.

• Theorem (Dembo-Tsai(2017)). $\mathcal{X}^N \Rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, in $C(\mathbb{R}^2_+ : \mathbb{R})$ where \mathcal{X} solves the additive stochastic heat equation:

$$\left(\partial_t - \frac{1}{2}\partial_{xx}\right)\mathcal{X}(t,x) = \sqrt{2}\dot{\mathcal{W}}, \ t,x > 0$$

with initial condition $\mathcal{X}(0, x) = \sqrt{2}B(x)$ and a Neumann boundary condition.

- {B(x) : x ≥ 0} is a Brownian motion and W(t, x) is white noise on ℝ²₊, independent of B.
- Question: What about the π_a -stationary infinite Atlas model?

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Equilibrium Fluctuations: Ongoing (Banerjee, B. and Rudzis)

Consider the Harris model, i.e. g = 0, and for a > 0, the stationary measure π⁰_a = ⊗[∞]_{n=1}Exp(na).

• Suppose
$$\{Y_i(0)\} \sim \mathsf{PP}(ae^{ax}dx)$$
.

- Then $\{Y_{i+1}(0) Y_i(0)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sim \pi_a^0$.
- Theorem (Liggett (1978)): For all t, { $Y_i(t) + at/2$ } ~ PP($ae^{ax}dx$).

Amarjit Budhiraja University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill Joint works with Sayan Banerjee and Peter Rudzis

Infinite Atlas Model

Equilibrium Fluctuations: Ongoing (Banerjee, B. and Rudzis)

• Let
$$Y_i^N(t) \doteq Y_i(t) + at/2 - \log N$$
.

• Let for
$$t \ge 0$$
 and $z > 0$,

$$\mathcal{X}^{N}(t,z) \doteq N^{a/2} \left[Y^{N}_{\lfloor N^{a}z
floor}(t) - rac{1}{a} \log z
ight].$$

• Then $\mathcal{X}^N \Rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ given as $\mathcal{X}(t,z) = \frac{1}{z}\mathcal{Y}(t,z)$, where \mathcal{Y} solves:

$$\left(\partial_t - \frac{a^2 x^2}{2} \partial_{xx}\right) \mathcal{Y}(t, x) = a x \dot{\mathcal{W}}, \ t, x > 0$$

with initial condition $\mathcal{Y}(0, x) = B(x)$.

Amarjit Budhiraja University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill Joint works with Sayan Banerjee and Peter Rudzis

Infinite Atlas Model